Serial No. 10/824,654 Docket No. NEC04P050-HSd 4

REMARKS

Entry of this response is appropriate under 37 CFR §1.116, since the only claim amendments presented are those amendments necessary to place claims understood as being allowable into condition for immediate allowance.

Claims 15-20 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 1-14 and 21-23 are canceled to permit these allowable claims to pass to allowance. It is noted that claim 20, although listed in the latest rejection as rejected, is also considered allowable by reason of its current dependence upon allowable claim 15.

Whether Applicants proceed with a continuation for the canceled claims depends upon the USPTO response to the Petition Under 37 CFR §1.181 That a Complete Response be Provided in Accordance With MPEP §707.07(f), submitted on March 27, 2006.

It is noted that Applicants specifically state that no amendment to any claim herein should be construed as a disclaimer of any interest in or right to an equivalent of any element or feature of the amended claim.

Claims 1-14 and 20-23 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as anticipated by US Patent 6,834,965 to Raskar et al. Claims 15-19 were declared by the Examiner as allowable if rewritten in independent format. Applicants have rewritten these claims in independent format, along with claim 20, as revised to be dependent upon allowable claim 15.

However, Applicants believe that all claims of the previous version were allowable and request that the USPTO provide a complete response to the traversals of the previous Amendments, in accordance with MPEP §707.07(f), as requested by the recently-filed Petition Under 37 CFR §1.181, in order to determine whether to file a continuation for these canceled claims.

THE PRIOR ART REJECTION

The Examiner alleges that Raskar teaches the claimed invention defined by claims 1-14 and 20-23. Cancellation of these rejected claims, as modified to make claim 20 dependent upon claim allowable claim 15, renders moot this rejection.

Ø 006/006

Serial No. 10/824,654 Docket No. NEC04P050-HSd 5

FORMAL MATTERS AND CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that claims 15-20, all the claims presently pending in the application, are patentably distinct over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to pass the above application to issue at the earliest possible time.

Should the Examiner find the application to be other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the local telephone number listed below to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a <u>telephonic or personal interview</u>.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in fees or to credit any overpayment in fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-0481.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 3/31/06

Frederick E. Cooperrider, Esq. Registration No. 36,769

Sean M. McGinn, Esq. Registration No. 34,386

McGinn Intellectual Property Law Group, PLLC 8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200 Vienna, VA 22182-3817 (703) 761-4100 Customer No. 21254

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I certify that I transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300/-2128 this Amendment under 37 CFR §1.116 to Examiner Andrew T. Sever on March 31, 2006.

Frederick E. Cooperrider

Reg. No. 36,769